

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,950	10/06/2003	Marcel Hunn	33635/US	3584
74307 7590 01/11/2008 Dorsey & Whitney LLP IP Department, ATTN: Disetronic Licensing AG 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500			EXAMINER	
			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH	
Minneapolis, M			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		,	3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		· H	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,950	HUNN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth R. MacNeill	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a report of the second will expire SIX (6) MONTH to, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. By be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 (2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3.5,8,10,11,13,14,19-21,33,37,54 ard 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3.5,8,10,11,13,14,19-21,33,37,54 ard 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration. and 56 is/are rejected.	plication.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the specific states are the specific states and the specific states are the specific s	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	A) 🗀 Interview Cu	mman//DTO 412\	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

'Application/Control Number: 10/679,950 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 17 October 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 3,5,8,10,13,14,21 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bley et al (US 5,762,630).

Bley teaches a cannula (117 and 113) composed a first material (shape memory polymer such as MM-3510, Col 4 at line 50) which is thermally susceptible, and a second material (hub 117).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/679,950

Art Unit: 3767

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 33,37,55, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwatschenko (US #4,306,563) in view of Bader et al (US 4,835,248). Regarding claims 33, lwatschenko teaches "A cannula that increases in pliability during use, wherein the cannula comprises a water-absorbing material (8) of a first variable hardness that decreases in hardness upon water absorption (Col 3 line 8) and a material having a second hardness (plastic)

Iwatschenko does not disclose that the material of a polyamide.

Bader et al discloses a polyamide based coating which dissolves in the body and can be used for catheters (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a polyamide coating with the variable hardness catheter of lwatschenko since "these polymers are metabolized into nontoxic, nonallergenic and nonimmunogenic compounds and are excreted." (Col 1 line 61-64)

Regarding claim 37, the water-absorbing material is the outer material of the cannula, and the material having the second hardness is the inner material of the cannula. As to claims 55 and 56, the tip would be capable of piercing the skin or a septum. Additionally, the hardness of a steel needle is dependent on the shape and size of the needle.

3. Claims 3,5,8,10,11,13,14,19-21, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwatschenko (US #4,306,563) in view of Bley (US 5,762,630)

Application/Control Number: 10/679,950

Art Unit: 3767

Regarding claim 8, Iwatschenko teaches "A cannula (2) which increases in pliability during application, wherein, prior to application, said cannula comprises one a material of a first variable hardness (8) and a second material having a second hardness (2), of which said material having the greater hardness is at least partially dissolved during use." See Col 3 lines 20-25 and Claim 1.

Iwatschenko does not disclose that the material is thermally susceptible.

Bley discloses thermally susceptible polymer which dissolves in the body and can be used for catheters (Col 4 line 50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a thermal coating with the variable hardness catheter of lwatschenko since such a coating would rapidly soften in the body.

Regarding claim 3, the two materials are a composite (Fig 1)

Regarding claim 5, the composite is a solid-state material (plastic, Col 1 line 9) and an organic polymer (PVA, Col 3 line 10)

Regarding claim 10, the cannula contains a material of lower hardness (2) than the dissolving material (8)

Regarding claim 11, the material of first variable hardness at least partially surrounds the material having a lower initial hardness (Fig 1)

Regarding claim 13, the hardness of the material of lower hardness does not change during use (plastic, Col 1 line 9)

Regarding claim 14, the material of greater hardness surrounds the material of lower hardness (Fig 1)

Application/Control Number: 10/679,950 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Regarding claim 19, the PVA is readily water soluble, and meets the limitation of the applicant's specification regarding the preferred materials as disclosed in the specification.

Regarding claim 20, the material having the greater hardness is removed during use (by dissolution)

Regarding claim 21, the materials are separated by layers (Fig 1)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 17 October 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "exhibits a selected flow cross-section...puncture a septum...formed of at least one material" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). The body of the claim begins after "wherein the cannula comprises." Regarding lwatschenko in view of Bader, applicant argues that the compound is not degradable based on water absorption. See Col 5 line 6 that the compound does absorb water in order to release its active component and later dissolves. Water absorption will decrease the hardness of the material.

Art Unit: 3767

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth R. MacNeill whose telephone number is (571)-272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Merin C. Sermon